

Surface Magnetisation and Surface Correlations in Aperiodic Ising Models

Ferenc Iglói

Research Institute for Solid State Physics, H-1525 Budapest, P.O.Box 49, Hungary

and

Institute for Theoretical Physics, Szeged University

H-6720 Szeged, Aradi V. tere 1, Hungary

and

Péter Lajkó

Institute for Theoretical Physics, Szeged University

H-6720 Szeged, Aradi V. tere 1, Hungary

Abstract:

We consider the surface critical behaviour of diagonally layered Ising models on the square lattice where the inter-layer couplings follow some aperiodic sequence. The surface magnetisation is analytically evaluated from a simple formula derived by the diagonal transfer matrix method, while the surface spin-spin correlations are obtained numerically by a recursion method, based on the star-triangle transformation. The surface critical behaviour of different aperiodic Ising models are found in accordance with the corresponding relevance-irrelevance criterion. For marginal sequences the critical exponents are continuously varying with the strength of aperiodicity and generally the systems follow anisotropic scaling at the critical point.

PACS-numbers: 05.50.+q, 64.60.Ak, 68.35.Rh

I. Introduction

The discovery of quasi-crystals[1] has stimulated intensive research to understand their structure and physical properties (for recent reviews see[2-6]). Theoretically a challenging problem is to determine the critical properties of such quasiperiodic or more generally aperiodic structures. After a series of numerical[7-13] and analytical[14-21] studies on specific models Luck has proposed a relevance-irrelevance criterion[22]. According to this criterion, which is a generalisation of the Harris criterion for random magnets[23], the inhomogeneity is irrelevant (relevant) if the fluctuating energy in the scale of the bulk correlation length is smaller (greater) than the excess thermal energy. In layered systems the above criterion is connected to the sign of the cross-over exponent:

$$\phi = 1 + \nu(\omega - 1) \quad , \quad (1)$$

which is expressed in terms of the correlation length exponent ν of the unperturbed system and the wandering exponent of the sequence ω [24].

Most of the studies about the critical properties of aperiodic systems are restricted to the quantum Ising chain with aperiodic couplings[22][25-34], which is equivalent to the two-dimensional classical, layered Ising model in the extreme anisotropic limit[35]. According to eq(1) for this model with $\nu = 1$ sequences with bounded (unbounded) fluctuations represent irrelevant (relevant) perturbations. The obtained analytical and numerical results on different physical quantities (specific heat, surface and bulk magnetisation, local energy density, etc) of different aperiodic Ising quantum chains are consistent with the prediction of the Luck criterion. For marginal sequences non-universal critical behaviour was found[30-31], even if the aperiodic perturbation is of radial symmetry[34]. We note also on some related studies on hierarchical Ising models[36].

In the present paper we study the surface magnetisation and the surface spin-spin correlations of aperiodic Ising models. In contrast to previous investigations here we consider the classical version of the model with a layered aperiodicity in the diagonal direction and the critical properties are studied on the (1,1) surface of a square lattice. We use two methods of investigations. The surface magnetisation is calculated analytically with the diagonal transfer matrix method[37-39], whereas both the surface magnetisation and surface correlations are numerically studied by a recursion method based on the star-triangle transformation. This latter method has also been used to study layered triangular systems.

We note that some preliminary results of our investigations have already been announced in a Letter[30].

The structure of the paper is the following. In Sections.2 and 3 we present the methods of diagonal transfer matrix and that of the star-triangle recursion, respectively. Results on different aperiodic Ising models are given in Sec 4. A discussion is contained in Sec 5, while details of the calculation are presented in the Appendix.

II. Surface Magnetisation by the Transfer Matrix Method

Let us consider an Ising model on the square lattice with a diagonally layered structure, where the nearest neighbour couplings in the i -th layer from the surface are given by $J_i = K_i k_B T$ (see Fig 1a). We are interested in the magnetisation at the (1,1) surface, which is calculated in the transfer matrix formalism[37-39]. Denoting by $\langle 0|$ and $\langle 1|$ the ground state and the first excited state of the $\mathbf{T}$ diagonal transfer matrix, respectively, the surface magnetisation is given by the matrix-element of the surface spin-flip operator σ_1^x , as:

$$m_s = \langle 0 | \sigma_1^x | 1 \rangle \quad . \quad (1)$$

Working with free boundary conditions $\mathbf{T}$ is different in odd and even sites, therefore we consider $\mathbf{T}^2$, which is given for the inhomogeneous model as:

$$(\mathbf{T}^2)_{\mu, \bar{\mu}} = 2^N \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \cosh [K_{2i-1}(\mu_i + \bar{\mu}_i) + K_{2i}(\mu_{i+1} + \bar{\mu}_{i+1})] \cosh [K_{2N-1}(\mu_N + \bar{\mu}_N)] \quad , \quad (2)$$

and depends on the configurations of the $\mu_i = \pm 1$ and $\bar{\mu}_i = \pm 1$ spins, $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ (Fig. 1b).

To determine the eigenvectors of $\mathbf{T}^2$ we make use of the fact that $\mathbf{T}^2$ and the linear operator

$$\mathbf{H} = - \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \lambda_i \sigma_i^z \sigma_{i+1}^z - \sum_{i=1}^N h_i \sigma_i^x \quad (3)$$

commute

$$[\mathbf{T}^2, \mathbf{H}] = 0 \quad , \quad (4a)$$

if the couplings of the inhomogeneous quantum Ising chain in eq(3) satisfy the relations:

$$h_i \frac{C_{2i-2}}{C_{2i}} = h_{i+1} \frac{C_{2i+1}}{C_{2i-1}} \quad (4b)$$

and

$$\lambda_i = h_i S_{2i} S_{2i-1} \frac{C_{2i-2}}{C_{2i}} \quad . \quad (4c)$$

Here we used the abbreviations $\sinh 2K_i \equiv S_i$, $\cosh 2K_i \equiv C_i$ and $C_0 = 1$. Derivation of eqs(4a-c) is shown in the Appendix. According to eq(4a) the eigenvectors of $\mathbf{T}^2$ and $\mathbf{H}$ are the same, therefore we evaluate the matrix-element in eq(1) for the inhomogeneous quantum Ising chain. Using a free fermionic representation of $\mathbf{H}$ [40] one can show[41] that:

$$m_s = \Phi_s(1) \quad , \quad (5)$$

and the Φ_s vector is determined by the equation $(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})\Phi_s = 0$, where

$$\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} h_1 & \lambda_1 & & & \\ & h_2 & \lambda_2 & & \\ & & h_3 & \lambda_3 & \\ & & & \dots & \\ & & & & \dots \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

From the normalization condition $\sum_i \Phi_s^2(i) = 1$ one obtains for the surface magnetisation

$$m_s = \left[1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^i \left(\frac{h_j}{\lambda_j} \right)^2 \right]^{-1/2} = \left[1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^i S_j^{-2} \right]^{-1/2} \quad , \quad (7)$$

where in the last equation we used eqs(4b) and (4c). Analysing the formula in eq(7) we can say that the magnetisation on the (1,1) surface of a diagonally layered Ising model is formally the same as that of a quantum Ising chain with inhomogeneous couplings $\lambda_i = \sinh 2K_i$ and in uniform transverse field $h_i = 1$ [41]. We note that for the homogeneous Ising model eq(7) gives Peschel's result[42]: $m_s = (1 - \sinh^{-2} 2K)^{-1/2}$.

III. Recursion Method

The Ising model on the triangular lattice is invariant under the star-triangle transformation[43] (STT), which makes the exact solution of the model on this lattice relatively simple[44]. Also an exact renormalization group transformation for the triangular Ising model is based on the repeated use of the STT[45]. For a semi-infinite Ising model the STT has been used by Hilhorst and van Leeuwen[46] and by others[47,48] to construct an

iterative procedure to calculate the surface magnetisation and the surface correlations in the triangular Ising model. The method can be successfully used for layered systems in which the couplings are the same within one layer. We note that the square lattice can be considered as a special case of the triangular lattice with vanishing couplings across the diagonals (Fig. 1a). In the following we shortly recapitulate the basic results of the recursion method.

Let us consider a layered Ising model on a semi-infinite triangular lattice with vertical couplings parallel to the surface $\overline{K}_i$, $i = 1/2, 3/2, \dots$ and with diagonal couplings K_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots$ (Fig 1a). The STT maps the triangular lattice onto a hexagonal lattice which is in turn equivalent to a new triangular lattice. Iterating this mapping a sequence of triangular Ising models is generated ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) with couplings $\overline{K}_i(n)$ and $K_i(n)$ from the original model with $n = 0$. The surface magnetisation $m_s(n)$ and the surface spin-spin correlation function $g_s(l, n) = \langle \sigma_{1,l} \sigma_{1,0} \rangle - \langle \sigma_1 \rangle^2$ transform as[46]:

$$m_s(n) = \{1 - \exp[-4\overline{K}_{1/2}(n+1)]\}^{1/2} m_s(n+1) \quad , \quad (8a)$$

$$g_s(l, n) = \frac{1}{4} \{1 - \exp[-4\overline{K}_{1/2}(n+1)]\} \times [g_s(l+1, n+1) + 2g_s(l, n+1) + g_s(l-1, n+1)] \quad . \quad (8b)$$

Making use of the boundary condition $g_s(0, n) = 1 - m_s^2(n)$ one obtains for the original model with $m_s = m_s(0)$ and $g_s(l) = g_s(l, 0)$ [46]:

$$\begin{aligned} m_s &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [f(n)]^{1/2} m_s(n) \quad , \\ g(l) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 4^{-n} \frac{l}{n} \binom{2n}{n+l} f(n) [1 - m_s^2(n)] \quad , \\ f(n) &= \prod_{j=1}^n \{1 - \exp[-4\overline{K}_{1/2}(n+1)]\} \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

These relations are exact and can be used to iterate on a computer for any type of distribution of the couplings in the original layered model. In this way calculating the surface magnetisation one can numerically determine the T_c critical point and the β_s critical exponent of the surface magnetisation of the model from $m_s(t) \sim t^{\beta_s}$ as $t = (T_c - T)/T_c \rightarrow 0$. For the square lattice with $\overline{K}_i(0) = 0$ these results should be compared with the analytical expression in eq(7).

To obtain analytical results by the recursion method one should analyse the asymptotic behaviour of $X(n) = \exp[-4\overline{K}_{1/2}(n)]$, since according to numerical observations $X(n)$ is

smoothly varying with $n \gg 1$ [48]. Inserting the asymptotic solution of $X(n)$ into eqs(9) one obtains in the continuum approximation:

$$m_s = [f(n_0)]^{1/2} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \int_{n_0}^{\infty} X(n) dn \right] \quad (10)$$

and

$$g_s(l) = \sum_{n=l}^{\infty} \frac{l}{n^{3/2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \exp(-l^2/n) [f(n) - f(\infty)] \quad , \quad (11)$$

where n_0 is a finite cutoff, on which the critical exponents do not depend. According to eq(10) the surface magnetisation is non-zero, if the integral $\int_{n_0}^{\infty} X(n) dn$ is convergent, thus $X(n)$ goes to zero faster than $1/n$, as n tends to infinity.

The asymptotic behaviour of $X(n)$ has been calculated exactly at the critical point of the homogeneous model and for models with smoothly varying couplings at the surface[46-48], but there are no exact results available on $X(n)$ outside the critical point. For the homogeneous, critical Ising model[46] $X(n) \simeq 1/2n$, thus $m_s(t=0) = 0$, $f(n) \sim n^{-1/2}$ and the surface correlations from eq(11) decay as $g_s(l) \sim l^{-\eta_{\parallel}}$ with $\eta_{\parallel} = 1$. For general inhomogeneous models the decay exponent follows from the asymptotic behaviour:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2nX(n) = \eta_{\parallel} \quad . \quad (12)$$

In numerical calculations it is more accurate to determine the decay exponent from eq(12), than to investigate the magnetisation exponent β_s from the behaviour of the surface magnetisation outside the critical point.

IV Results on Aperiodic Models

Although one can study general, triangular Ising models by the recursion method, here we restrict ourselves to the (1,1) surface of diagonally layered square models. In this way we reduce the space of parameters with $\overline{K}_i = 0$, furthermore we make use of the analytical expression on the surface magnetisation in eq(7).

The criticality condition for layered inhomogeneous Ising models[49] is expressed in terms of the variable $S_i = \sinh 2K_i$ as:

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^L \log S_i = 0 \quad (13)$$

Here we study two-valued sequences of the couplings and use the parametrization $S_i = Sr^{f_i}$, where f_i takes the values 0 or 1 according to an aperiodic sequence. The homogeneous model is described by $r = 1$. The fluctuation of the couplings in a domain of size L is characterised by the cumulated deviation from the average value $\bar{S}$ as[50]:

$$\Delta(S) = \sum_{i=1}^L (S_i - \bar{S}) \approx \delta L^\omega F\left(\frac{\ln L}{\ln \Lambda_1}\right) \quad . \quad (14)$$

Here $\delta = \bar{S}(r-1)$ is the amplitude of the modulation, ω is the wandering exponent, which is expressed by the leading eigenvalues of the substitutional matrix[24] $\omega = \ln |\Lambda_2| / \ln \Lambda_1$ and $F(x)$ is a fractal function of its argument with period unity. From the transformation law of δ under scaling one can obtain the crossover exponent ϕ [25] in eq(1) and the corresponding relevance-irrelevance criterion as described in the Introduction. The aperiodic sequences we consider in the following represent different types of perturbation according to this relevance-irrelevance criterion.

A. Irrelevant perturbation: Thue-Morse sequence

The binary Thue-Morse sequence[51] is generated through the substitution $0 \rightarrow 01$ and $1 \rightarrow 10$, so that one obtains after four steps:

$$0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ .$$

This sequence represents an irrelevant perturbation, since $\Lambda_2 = 0$ and $\omega = -\infty$.

The surface magnetisation can be obtained from eq(7) using the corresponding result for the Thue-Morse quantum Ising chain in Ref[27]:

$$m_s = \frac{2t^{1/2}}{r^{1/2} + r^{-1/2}} \left[1 + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{r-1}{r+1} \right)^2 t + O(t^2) \right] \quad , \quad (15)$$

where the critical point is at $S_c = r^{-1/2}$ and $t = 1 - (S_c/S)^2$. The surface magnetisation exponent $\beta_s = 1/2$ takes the value for homogeneous Ising systems. Similar conclusion can be obtained from a study of surface critical correlations. According to numerical results the relation in eq(12) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2nX(n) = \eta_{\parallel} = 1$ is satisfied with an accuracy of 10^{-5} . Thus also the decay exponent takes the value for homogeneous Ising systems in two dimensions and the perturbation is indeed irrelevant as expected from scaling.

B. Relevant perturbation: Rudin-Shapiro sequence

The Rudin-Shapiro sequence[51] is generated by the two digit substitution $00 \rightarrow 0001$, $01 \rightarrow 0010$, $10 \rightarrow 1101$ and $11 \rightarrow 1110$, thus one obtains after three substitutions:

$$0\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 0\ 1.$$

The wandering exponent of the sequence is $\omega = 1/2$, thus according to eq(1) this type of perturbation is relevant for the Ising model. The critical point from eq(13) is given by $S_c = r^{-1/2}$ as for the Thue-Morse model. The surface magnetisation is again obtained from eq(7) using the known results about the corresponding Ising quantum chain in Ref[28]. The surface magnetisation behaves differently for $r < 1$ and $r > 1$. For $r < 1$, when the couplings at the surface are locally stronger than the average the surface stays ordered at the critical point and the surface phase transition is of first order. The critical surface magnetisation is given by[28]:

$$m_{s,c} = \frac{1-r}{\sqrt{1-r+r^2}} \quad r \leq 1 \quad . \quad (16)$$

In the other regime, $r > 1$, the couplings are locally weaker at the surface than in the bulk and the surface magnetisation behaves anomalously, it has an essential singularity at the critical point:

$$m_s \sim \exp[-const(r-1)^2 t^{-1}] \quad r > 1. \quad (17)$$

According to numerical results the decay of critical surface correlations is also anomalous. The quantity $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2nX(n) \rightarrow \infty$, thus according to eq(12) at the critical point the surface correlations decay faster than any power and $g_s(l)$ has a stretched exponential dependence on l .

C. Marginal perturbations

Fredholm sequence

The Fredholm sequence[51] is generated through substitution of the three letters A , B and C as $A \rightarrow AB$, $B \rightarrow BC$, $C \rightarrow CC$ and we associate $f_i = 0$ to the letters A and C and $f_i = 1$ to B . Starting with a letter A we get for the f_i series after four substitutions:

$$0\ 1\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ .$$

This type of perturbation is localised to the surface and there is no change in the critical temperature, thus $S_c = 1$. The sequence is marginal, since the corresponding wandering exponent $\omega = 0$. To evaluate the formula in eq(7) for the surface magnetisation we use Ref[33]. For $r > \sqrt{2}$ the surface transition is of first order, the critical surface magnetisation is given by:

$$m_{s,c} = \sqrt{\frac{r^2 - 2}{2r^2 - 3}} \quad , \quad r \geq \sqrt{2} \quad . \quad (18)$$

In the other regime $r < \sqrt{2}$ the surface transition is of second order and the corresponding surface magnetisation exponent is a continuous function of the parameter r :

$$\beta_s = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\ln r}{\ln 2} \quad , \quad r \leq \sqrt{2} \quad . \quad (19)$$

Using the recursion method we have determined the decay exponent of surface correlations from eq(12), which is shown on Fig 2 for $r \leq \sqrt{2}$. Comparing $\eta_{||}(r)$ with the surface magnetisation exponent $\beta_s(r)$ in eq(19) we can say that the surface scaling law[52]

$$\eta_{||} = 2\beta_s/\nu \quad (20)$$

is satisfied for $r \leq \sqrt{2}$.

Period-doubling sequence

The period-doubling sequence follows from the substitution[51] $1 \rightarrow 10$ and $0 \rightarrow 11$, so that starting with a 1 after four steps we have

$$1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \ .$$

The critical temperature from eq(13) is $S_c = r^{-2/3}$, furthermore the sequence is marginal since $\omega = 0$. The critical exponent of the surface magnetisation can be analytically determined using eq(7) and the corresponding result for the Ising quantum chain in Ref[27]:

$$\beta_s = \frac{\ln [(1 + r^{2/3})(1 + r^{-2/3})]}{4 \ln 2} \quad . \quad (21)$$

As seen from eq(21) $\beta_s(r)$ is continuously varying with the parameter r , furthermore it is the same at both ends of the chain. This is a consequence of the fact that omitting the last digit the period-doubling sequence is symmetric.

Next we calculate the decay exponent of critical correlations by the recursion method. In contrast to the surface magnetisation exponent the decay exponent is found to be

$\eta_{\parallel} = 1$, independently of the inhomogeneity parameter r . On Fig. 3 we show for $r = 2$ the quantity $2nX(n)$ as a function of the logarithm of the iterations. Its limiting value as $n \rightarrow \infty$ gives the decay exponent according to eq(12). The log-periodic oscillations for large n are a consequence of discrete scaling, which can be observed in other quantities as well (see eq(14)). We note that the same value of the decay exponent is found on the right boundary of the system.

Comparing the surface magnetisation exponent in eq(21) and the decay exponent $\eta_{\parallel} = 1$ we can say that the surface scaling law in eq(20) does not satisfy. We shall come back to clear this point in the Discussion.

Paper-folding sequence

The paper-folding sequence[51] is obtained by recurrent folding of a sheet of paper, right over left. After unfolding one obtains a series of up- (1) and down-folds (0). The same sequence can be generated using the two-letter substitutions $00 \rightarrow 1000$, $01 \rightarrow 1001$, $10 \rightarrow 1100$ and $11 \rightarrow 1101$. Starting with 11 after three substitutions the sequence is given by:

$$1\ 1\ 0\ 1\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 0\ 0\ 1\ .$$

This sequence is also marginal, since $\omega = 0$, furthermore the critical point from eq(13) is $S_c = r^{-1/2}$.

Again the surface magnetisation exponent is analytically known from eq(7) and using the result for the corresponding quantum Ising chain in Ref[31]. At the left surface

$$\beta_s = \frac{\ln(1 + r^{-1})}{2 \ln 2} \ , \quad (22)$$

whereas at the right boundary

$$\overline{\beta}_s = \frac{\ln(1 + r)}{2 \ln 2} \ , \quad (23)$$

which is obtained by exchanging perturbed and unperturbed couplings, i.e. with $r \rightarrow r^{-1}$. Thus for the paper-folding sequence, which is not inversion symmetric, the surface magnetisation exponents are different at the two boundaries.

Next we turn to calculate the decay exponent on the left boundary by the recursion method. Now $\eta_{\parallel}$ is found r -dependent and for all r it satisfies the relation:

$$\eta_{\parallel} = \frac{2\beta_s}{\beta_s + \overline{\beta}_s} \ , \quad (24)$$

and a similar equation is true on the right surface with $\beta_s \leftrightarrow \bar{\beta}_s$. To illustrate the relation in eq(24) we show on Fig. 4 for $r = 2$ the quantity $nX(n)(\beta_s + \bar{\beta}_s)/\beta_s$, which tends to unity with log-periodic oscillations, in accordance with eq(12). We can say that the surface scaling law in eq(20) is again violated, like to the period-doubling sequence.

V Discussion

In this paper we have studied the surface magnetisation and the surface correlation function of diagonally layered Ising models on the (1,1) surface. For different aperiodic distribution of the diagonal couplings we have obtained exact results for the surface magnetisation exponent by the diagonal transfer matrix method, whereas the decay of surface correlations were studied numerically by a recursion method based on the repeated use of the star-triangle transformation. The obtained results are in accord with the relevance-irrelevance criterion by Luck[22]. For the relevant Rudin-Shapiro model first-order surface transition and anomalous decay of critical surface correlations were observed. For marginal sequences (Fredholm, period-doubling and paper-folding) non-universal surface critical behaviour was found, the corresponding surface magnetisation exponents are continuously varying with the inhomogeneity parameter r .

The above observations remain valid, if the general triangular lattice Ising model with couplings K_i and $\bar{K}_i$ is concerned. Then besides the aperiodicity ratio r another parameter $\bar{K}_i/K_i$ enters into the expressions. In this general case the criticality condition is also known analytically[49]:

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^L \log S_i + 2\bar{K}_i = 0 \quad , \quad (25)$$

while both the surface magnetisation and the surface correlations have to be calculated numerically by the recursion method. Our results on the triangular lattice qualitatively agree with that on the diagonal square lattice, they satisfy the relevance-irrelevance criterion in eq(1) for all sequences. For marginal sequences continuously varying critical exponents were found, which depend on two parameters. Also the corresponding scaling relations are satisfied, eq(20) for the Fredholm sequence and eq(24) for the period-doubling and paper-folding sequences.

Finally, we come to the point to explain the violation of surface scaling relation in eq(20) for the period-doubling and paper-folding sequences. The observed scaling be-

haviour in eq(24) is compatible with anisotropic scaling, when the correlation lengths parallel with $\xi_{\parallel}$ and perpendicular to the surfaces $\xi_{\perp}$ are diverging with different exponents, so that $\xi_{\parallel} \sim \xi_{\perp}^z$, where z is the anisotropy exponent. According to anisotropic scaling[53] the critical spin-spin correlation function on the left surface behave as:

$$g_s(l, t) = b^{-2\beta_s/\nu} g_s(l/b^z, b^{1/\nu} t) \quad , \quad (26)$$

when lengths perpendicular to the surface are rescaled by a factor of $b > 1$. At the critical point $t = 0$ the decay exponent is given by $\eta_{\parallel} = 2\beta_s/\nu z$, which corresponds to the relation in eq(24), if

$$z = \beta_s + \overline{\beta}_s \quad . \quad (27)$$

For the period-doubling sequence with $\beta_s = \overline{\beta}_s$, $\eta_{\parallel} = 1$, as observed. We note that the anisotropy exponent z has been recently analytically calculated for the corresponding Ising quantum chains[54] in accordance with eq(27). Thus we can conclude that for marginally aperiodic layered Ising models where the perturbation extends over the volume of the system the systems become essentially anisotropic at the critical point and the anisotropy exponent can be expressed as the sum of the two surface magnetisation exponents.

Acknowledgement: F.I. thanks for collaborations with L. Turban and M. Henkel at early stages of this work. He also thanks to I. Peschel for a useful correspondence. This work has been supported by the Hungarian National Research Fund under grant No OTKA TO12830.

Appendix

To prove eq(4) we start with the representation of $\mathbf{H}$ in eq(3) in the $\mu, \bar{\mu}$ basis:

$$H_{\mu, \bar{\mu}} = - \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \lambda_i \mu_i \mu_{i+1} \delta_{\mu, \bar{\mu}} + \sum_{i=1}^N h_i \delta(\mu_i + \bar{\mu}_i) \prod_{j \neq i} \delta(\mu_j - \bar{\mu}_j) \quad . \quad (A1)$$

Then the matrix-elements of the commutator $[\mathbf{T}^2, \mathbf{H}]$ are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{T}^2 \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{H} \mathbf{T}^2)_{\mu, \bar{\mu}} = & -\mathbf{T}_{\mu, \bar{\mu}}^2 \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N h_i \frac{\cosh(K_{2i-1}(\mu_i - \bar{\mu}_i) + K_{2i}(\mu_{i+1} + \bar{\mu}_{i+1}))}{\cosh(K_{2i-1}(\mu_i + \bar{\mu}_i) + K_{2i}(\mu_{i+1} + \bar{\mu}_{i+1}))} \right. \\ & \times \frac{\cosh(K_{2i-3}(\mu_{i-1} + \bar{\mu}_{i-1}) + K_{2i-2}(\mu_i - \bar{\mu}_i))}{\cosh(K_{2i-3}(\mu_{i-1} + \bar{\mu}_{i-1}) + K_{2i-2}(\mu_i + \bar{\mu}_i))} + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \lambda_i \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_{i+1} - (\mu_i \leftrightarrow \bar{\mu}_i) \left. \right\} \quad (A2) \end{aligned}$$

Here in the surface terms $K_0 = K_{-1} = K_{2N} = 0$. The term in the first sum in the r.h.s. of eq(A2) can be rewritten using the identities $\sinh[a(\mu \pm \bar{\mu})] = (\mu \pm \bar{\mu})/2 \sinh 2a$ and $\tanh[a(\mu \pm \bar{\mu})] = (\mu \pm \bar{\mu})/2 \tanh 2a$ as:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mu_{i+1} \mu_i \tanh 2K_{2i} \sinh 2K_{2i-1} \cosh 2K_{2i-2} + \mu_i \mu_{i-1} \tanh 2K_{2i-3} \sinh 2K_{2i-2} \cosh 2K_{2i-1} \\ & + \bar{\mu}_{i+1} \mu_i \tanh 2K_{2i} \sinh 2K_{2i-1} \cosh 2K_{2i-2} + \mu_i \bar{\mu}_{i-1} \tanh 2K_{2i-3} \sinh 2K_{2i-2} \cosh 2K_{2i-1} \\ & - (\mu_i \leftrightarrow \bar{\mu}_i)]/2 \end{aligned}$$

so that we obtain for the commutator:

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{T}^2, \mathbf{H}]_{\mu, \bar{\mu}} = & -\mathbf{T}_{\mu, \bar{\mu}}^2 \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (\mu_{i+1} \mu_i - \bar{\mu}_{i+1} \bar{\mu}_i) \left[\frac{1}{2} S_{2i} S_{2i-1} \left(h_i \frac{C_{2i-2}}{C_{2i}} + h_{i+1} \frac{C_{2i+1}}{C_{2i-1}} \right) - \lambda_i \right] \right. \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (\bar{\mu}_{i+1} \mu_i - \mu_{i+1} \bar{\mu}_i) \frac{1}{2} S_{2i} S_{2i-1} \left(h_i \frac{C_{2i-2}}{C_{2i}} - h_{i+1} \frac{C_{2i+1}}{C_{2i-1}} \right) \left. \right\} \quad (A3) \end{aligned}$$

Then the commutator is zero if eqs(4b) and (4c) are satisfied.

References

- [1] D. Schechtman, I. Blech, D. Gratias and J.W. Cahn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 53, 1951 (1984)
- [2] C.L. Henley, Comments Condens. Matter Phys. 13, 59 (1987)
- [3] T. Janssen, Phys. Rep. 168, 55 (1988)
- [4] C. Janot, J.M. Dubois and M. de Boissieu, Am. J. Phys. 57, 972 (1989)
- [5] P. Guyot, P. Kramer and M. de Boissieu, Rep. Prog. Phys. 54, 1373 (1991)
- [6] *Quasicrystals: The State of the Art*, P. Steinhardt and D. DiVincenzo eds. (World Scientific, Singapore, 1991)
- [7] C. Godr che, J.M. Luck and H.J. Orland, J. Stat. Phys. 45, 777 (1986)
- [8] Y. Okabe and K. Niizeki, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 57, 1536 (1988)
- [9] E.S. Sorensen, M.V. Jari  and M. Ronchetti, Phys. Rev. B44, 9271 (1991)
- [10] S. Sakamoto, F. Yonezawa, K. Aoki, S. Nos  and M. Nori, J. Phys. A22, L705 (1989)
- [11] C. Zhang and K. De'Bell, Phys. Rev. B47, 8558 (1993)
- [12] G. Langie and F. Igl i, J. Phys. A25, L487 (1992)
- [13] Y. Okabe and K. Nizeki, J. Phys. A23, L733 (1990)
- [14] B.M. McCoy and T.T. Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 21, 549 (1968)
- [15] C.L. Henley and R. Lipowsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 1679 (1987)
- [16] C.A. Tracy, J. Phys. A21, L603 (1988)
- [17] F. Igl i, J. Phys. A21, L911 (1988)
- [18] M.M. Doria and I.I. Satija, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 444 (1988)

- [19] G.V. Benza, Europhys. Lett. 8, 321 (1989)
- [20] M. Henkel and A. Patkós, J. Phys. A25, 5223 (1992)
- [21] Z. Lin and R. Tao, J. Phys. A21, L603 (1988)
- [22] J.M. Luck, J. Stat. Phys. 72, 417 (1993)
- [23] A.B. Harris, J. Phys. C7, 1671 (1974)
- [24] M. Queffélec, *Substitutional Dynamical Systems-Spectral Analysis*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1294, A. Dold and B. Eckmann eds. (Springer, Berlin, 1987)
- [25] F. Iglói, J. Phys. A26, L703 (1993)
- [26] L. Turban and B. Berche, Z. Phys. B92, 307 (1993)
- [27] L. Turban, F. Iglói and B. Berche, J. Phys. A27, 6349 (1994)
- [28] F. Iglói and L. Turban, Europhys. Lett. 27, 91 (1994)
- [29] L. Turban, P-E. Berche and B. Berche, J. Phys. A27, 6349 (1994)
- [30] B. Berche, P-E. Berche, M. Henkel, F. Iglói, P. Lajkó, S. Morgan and L. Turban, J. Phys. A28, L165 (1995)
- [31] P-E. Berche, B. Berche and L. Turban, J. Phys. I6, 621 (1996)
- [32] U. Grimm and M. Baake, J. Stat. Phys. 74, 1233 (1994)
- [33] D. Karevski, G. Palágyi and L. Turban, J. Phys. A28, 45 (1995)
- [34] D. Karevski, L. Turban and F. Iglói, J. Phys. A28, 3925 (1995)
- [35] J. Kogut, Rev. Mod. Phys. 51, 659 (1979)
- [36] F. Iglói, P. Lajkó and F. Szalma, Phys. Rev. B52, 7159 (1995)

- [37] L. Onsager, in *Critical Phenomena in Alloys, Magnets and Superconductors*, R.E. Mills, E. Ascher, R.I. Jaffee eds. (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1971)
- [38] M.J. Stephen and L. Mittag, J. Math. Phys. 13, 1944 (1972)
- [39] W.F. Wolff and J. Zittartz, Z. Phys. B44, 109 (1981)
- [40] E.H. Lieb, T.D. Schultz and D.C. Mattis, Ann. Phys. NY. 16, 406 (1961)
- [41] I. Peschel, Phys. Rev. B30, 6783 (1984)
- [42] I. Peschel, Phys. Lett. 110A, 313 (1985)
- [43] I. Syozi, in *Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena*, Vol. 1, C. Domb and M.S. Green eds. (Academic, London, 1972)
- [44] R.J. Baxter and I.G. Enting, J. Phys. A11, 2463 (1978)
- [45] H.J. Hilhorst, M. Schick and J.M.J. van Leeuwen, Phys. Rev. B19, 2749 (1979)
- [46] H.J. Hilhorst and J.M.J. van Leeuwen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 47, 1188 (1981)
- [47] T.W. Burkhardt and I. Guim, Phys. Rev. B29, 508 (1984)
- [48] T.W. Burkhardt, I. Guim, H.J. Hilhorst and J.M.J. van Leeuwen, Phys. Rev. B30, 1486 (1984)
- [49] F. Iglói and P. Lajkó, Z. Phys. B99, 281 (1996)
- [50] J.M. Dumont, in *Number Theory and Physics*, Springer Proc. Phys., Vol. 47, J.M. Luck, P. Moussa and M. Waldschmidt eds. (Springer, Berlin, 1990)
- [51] M. Dekking, M. Mendés-France and A. van der Poorten, Math. Intelligencer 4, 130 (1983)
- [52] K. Binder, in *Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena*, Vol. 8, C. Domb and J.L. Lebowitz eds. (Academic, London, 1983)
- [53] K. Binder and J.S. Wang, J. Stat. Phys. 55, 87 (1989)
- [54] F. Iglói and L. Turban (unpublished)

Figure Captions:

Fig. 1 (a) Diagonally layered square lattice (full line) and the corresponding triangular lattice with dashed vertical lines. For the square lattice the vertical couplings are zero $\overline{K}_i = 0$.
(b) Portion of the lattice contained in the square of the diagonal transfer matrix.

Fig. 2 Decay exponent of critical surface spin-spin correlations for the Fredholm Ising model.

Fig. 3 The quantity $2nX(n)$ as a function of the logarithm of the iterations for the period-doubling Ising model with $r = 2$. The decay exponent $\eta_{\parallel} = 1$ in eq(12) is approached through log-periodic oscillations.

Fig. 4 The quantity $nX(n)(\beta_s + \overline{\beta}_s)/\beta_s$ as a function of the logarithm of the iterations for the paper-folding Ising model with $r = 2$. The decay exponent $\eta_{\parallel} = (\beta_s + \overline{\beta}_s)/\beta_s$ in eq(12) is approached through log-periodic oscillations.